

PolyanNA, a Novel, Prediction-Oriented R Package for Missing Values

Norm Matloff
University of California at Davis

BARUG, at Databricks, SF
November 13, 2018 (updated Nov. 14)

coauthor: Pete Mohanty, Stanford University

Overview

Missing values (MVs):

- A perennial headache.
- Vast, VAST literature.
- Major R packages, e.g. **mice** and **Amelia**.
- New CRAN Task View, already quite extensive.

Estimation vs. Prediction

- Almost all (all?) of the MV literature is on *estimation*, e.g. estimation of treatment effects.
- Almost all of those methods are based on *imputation*. Requires extra assumptions beyond usual MAR.
- We're interested in *prediction*.
- We'll present a novel new technique we call the Tower Method.
- Non-imputational.
- Available at <http://github.com/matloff/polyanNA>.

Theorem from Probability Theory

[Please be patient; R code and real-data examples soon. :-)]

Famous formula in probability theory:

$$EY = E[E(Y|X)]$$

More general version, known as the Tower Property:

$$E[E(Y|U, V)|U] = E(Y|U)$$

Why is this relevant to us?

- Y: variable to be predicted
- U: vector of known predictor values
- V: vector of unknown predictor values

Example: Census Data

- Programmer/engineer data, Silicon Valley, 2000 (**prgeng** in pkg).
- Predict $Y = \text{wage income}$. In one particular case to be predicted, we might have
 - $U = (\text{education, occupation, weeks worked})$
 - $V = (\text{age, gender})$

In another case, maybe $U = (\text{age, gender, education, weeks worked})$ and $V = (\text{occupation})$. Etc.

- Wish we had U, V , for prediction $E(Y|U, V)$, but forced to use $E(Y|U)$.
- But then must estimate many $E(Y | U)$, since many different patterns for MVs (2^5 here).
- Hard enough to fit one good model, let alone dozens or more.
- With Tower, need only one.

Tower (cont'd.)

Basic idea:

- Fit full regression model to the complete cases.
- Use Tower to get the marginal models from the full one:

$$\hat{E}(Y \mid U = s) = \text{avg.} \underbrace{\hat{E}(Y \mid U = s, V)}_{\text{full model}}$$

over all complete cases with $U = s$

- In practice, use $U \approx s$ instead of $U = s$, using k nearest neighbors.

Census Example (cont'd.)

- (a) Use, say, **lm()** on the complete cases, predicting wage income from (age,gender,education,occupation,weeks worked).
- (b) Save the fitted values, e.g. **fitted.values** from **lm()** output.
- (c) Say need to predict case with education = MS, occupation = 102, weeks worked = 52 but with age and gender missing.
- (d) Find the complete cases for which (education,occupation,weeks worked) = (MS,102,52).
- (e) Predicted value for this case is average of the fitted values for the cases in (d).

polyanNA Package API

- **toweranNA(x,fittedReg,k,newx,scaleX=TRUE)**
 - **x**: Data frame of complete cases.
 - **fittedReg**: Estimated values of full regress. ftn. at those cases (from **lm()**, **glm()**, neural nets, whatever).
 - **k**: Number of nearest neighbors.
 - **newx**: Data frame of new cases to be predicted.
 - Return value: Vector of predictions.

Structure of Examples

- 3 real datasets.
- Break into random training and test sets.
- Predict all test-set cases with at least one MV.

Example: WordBank Data

- Kids' vocabulary growth trajectories.
- About 5500 cases, 6 variables. About 29% MVs.

Mean Absolute Prediction Errors:

| Amelia | Tower |
|--------|-------|
| 102.7 | 96.2 |
| 122.9 | 119.9 |
| 89.4 | 88.1 |
| 115.3 | 107.0 |
| 111.1 | 102.5 |

- Times about 6s each.
- The **mice** package crashed.

UCI Bank Data

- About 50K cases.
- Only about 2% MVs. Not much need for MV methods, but let's make sure Tower doesn't bring harm. :-)
- Tower run 8.3s, **mice** 442.2s.
- Too long to do multiple runs. About the same accuracy, 0.92 or 0.93.
- **Amelia** crashed.

World Values Study

- World political survey.
- 48 countries, sample 500-3500 from each.
- MVs artificially added.
- Tower outperformed **mice** in 39 of 48 countries.

| | <i>Tower</i> | <i>Mice</i> |
|---------------------------------------|--------------|-------------|
| <i>Mean Absolute Predictive Error</i> | 1.7603 | 1.8270 |
| <i>Elapsed Time (seconds)</i> | 0.1825 | 14.0822 |

Concerning Assumptions

- Most MV methods assum MAR, Missing at Random.
- Precise def. tricky (Seaman *et al*, *Stat. Sci.*, 2013).
- Tower assumptions similar, but assumptions matter much less in prediction than in estimation.

Next for Us

- Package is called **polyanNA** because we want to make use of our **polyreg** package.
- Better regression models through polynomials (NOT machine learning!).
- <https://arxiv.org/abs/1806.06850>