

ECS 256 – Project Bias, Variance and Parsimony in Regression Analysis

Xinbo Wang, Divya Chitimalla, Abhishek Roy,
Aveek Das

Bias Calculation for Linear Model

- ▶ Objective of Linear Regression is to minimize the mean square error

$$\begin{aligned} E = \text{Mean Squared Error (MSE)} &= \frac{1}{N} \sum_{i=1}^N (m_{Y;X}(t_i) - \hat{m}_{Y;X}(t_i))^2 \\ &= \frac{1}{N} \sum_{i=1}^N (m_{Y;X}(t_i) - \beta t_i)^2 \end{aligned}$$

- ▶ For the optimal estimate of slope we take the derivate of the error with respect to slope and equate to zero

$$\frac{\partial E}{\partial \beta} = 0$$

Bias Calculation for Linear Model

Doing the calculus we obtain the slope as

$$\Rightarrow \beta = \frac{\frac{1}{N} \sum_{i=1}^N t_i \cdot m_{Y;X}(t_i)}{\frac{1}{N} \sum_{i=1}^N t_i^2}$$

For a very large value of N we have

$$N \rightarrow \infty$$
$$\beta \rightarrow \frac{E(tm_{Y;X}(t))}{E(t^2)} = \frac{E(t^{1.75})}{E(t^2)}$$

2a – *dimension reduction*

Parsimony

- ▶ Problem with Significance Testing – Everything is significant in Big Data Sets
 - ▶ Prediction accuracy criterion (PAC) 1 – k fits your definition of "almost."
 - ▶ Adjusted R^2 – Another metric to decide the accuracy of the reduced model
- 

Functions and Structure

Main function that takes in the full model, PAC value, Model type to output the parsimonious model

- ▶ `prsm(y,x,k=0.01,predacc=ar2,crit=NULL,printdel=F)`

Function to return summary of generalized linear model

- ▶ `aiclogit (y,x)`

Function to return summary of linear model

- ▶ `ar2 (y,x)`

Function to return the reduced data set

- ▶ `findRes (index, nmax)`

Results for 2a using Diabetics Data

When using linear model

full outcome = 0.2959093

deleted Thick

new outcome = 0.2968178

deleted Insul

new outcome = 0.2962828

The variables used in this model are: NPreg Gluc BP BMI Genet Age

When using generalized linear model

full outcome = 741.4454

deleted Thick

new outcome = 739.4534

deleted Insul

new outcome = 739.4617

deleted BP

new outcome = 744.5088

deleted Age

new outcome = 744.3059

The variables used in this model are: NPreg Gluc BMI Genet

2b – Simulation using known distribution

Let X_1, \dots, X_{10} be i.i.d. $U(0,1)$, with

$$m_X(t) = t_1 + t_2 + t_3 + 0.1 t_4 + 0.01 t_5$$

and with the distribution of Y given X being

$U(m-1, m+1)$, where m means m_X

2b - Simulation Results

When $n = 100$, $k = 0.01$

First run : The variables used in this model are: $x_1 x_2 x_3 x_4 x_{10}$

Second run: The variables used in this model are: $x_1 x_2 x_3 x_5 x_6 x_8$

Third run: The variables used in this model are: $x_1 x_2 x_3 x_5 x_6$

When $n = 100$, $k = 0.05$

First run : The variables used in this model are: $x_1 x_2 x_3$

Second run: The variables used in this model are: $x_1 x_2 x_3$

Third run : The variables used in this model are: $x_1 x_2 x_3$

when $n = 1000$, $k = 0.01$

First run : The variables used in this model are: $x_1 x_2 x_3 x_6 x_8 x_{10}$

Second run: The variables used in this model are: $x_1 x_2 x_3 x_5$

Third run : The variables used in this model are: $x_1 x_2 x_3 x_4$

2b – Functions and Structure

- ▶ Function to test the model using simulation
`test(n,k)`
- ▶ Function to calculate the known distribution
`calY(x)`

2b - Simulation Results

when $n = 1000$, $k = 0.05$

first run : The variables used in this model are: $x_1 x_2 x_3$

Second run: The variables used in this model are: $x_1 x_2 x_3$

Third run :The variables used in this model are: $x_1 x_2 x_3$

when $n = 10000$, $k = 0.01$

first run : The variables used in this model are: $x_1 x_2 x_3 x_{10}$

Second run: The variables used in this model are: $x_1 x_2 x_3 x_8 x_9$

Third run : The variables used in this model are: $x_1 x_2 x_3 x_4 x_6$

when $n = 10000$, $k = 0.05$

first run : The variables used in this model are: $x_1 x_2 x_3$

Second run The variables used in this model are: $x_1 x_2 x_3$

Third run The variables used in this model are: $x_1 x_2 x_3$

2b - Simulation Results

when $n = 100000$, $k = 0.01$

first run : The variables used in this model are: $x_1 x_2 x_3 x_{10}$

Second run: The variables used in this model are: $x_1 x_2 x_3 x_5 x_9$

Third run: The variables used in this model are: $x_1 x_2 x_3 x_5$

when $n = 100000$, $k = 0.05$

first run : The variables used in this model are: $x_1 x_2 x_3$

Second run: The variables used in this model are: $x_1 x_2 x_3$

Third run: The variables used in this model are: $x_1 x_2 x_3$

Results Using Significance Testing

Select predictors that is "significant" at the 5% level of less by running full model. (**bolded**) : x1, x2,x3,x9

| | Estimate | Std. Error | t value | Pr(> t) | |
|-------------|----------|------------|---------|-----------|-----|
| (Intercept) | 0.46262 | 0.33979 | 1.362 | 0.176789 | |
| x1 | 0.92421 | 0.22679 | 4.075 | 9.97e-05 | *** |
| x2 | 0.87121 | 0.21182 | 4.113 | 8.69e-05 | *** |
| x3 | 0.90259 | 0.22743 | 3.969 | 0.000146 | *** |
| x4 | 0.04334 | 0.21403 | 0.202 | 0.839992 | |
| x5 | 0.03630 | 0.22842 | 0.159 | 0.874078 | |
| x6 | -0.09983 | 0.21858 | -0.457 | 0.649004 | |
| x7 | -0.27588 | 0.22308 | -1.237 | 0.219456 | |
| x8 | 0.18937 | 0.22830 | 0.829 | 0.409062 | |
| x9 | -0.45749 | 0.21950 | -2.084 | 0.040007 | * |
| x10 | 0.11414 | 0.22266 | 0.513 | 0.609478 | |

2c – Discrete Case $n < 1000$ $p < 10$ 0–1Y breast cancer Wisconsin

use 2~10 attributes to predict the 11th attribute: class

–<https://archive.ics.uci.edu/ml/machine-learning-databases/breast-cancer-wisconsin/>

| Attribute Information: | (class attribute has been moved to last column) | # Attribute | Name in dataset |
|------------------------|---|---------------------------------|--------------------------------|
| Domain | 1. Sample code number | Id | id number |
| 1 – 10 | 3. Uniformity of Cell Size | Size | 2. Clump Thickness |
| 1 – 10 | 5. Marginal Adhesion | Adh | 4. Uniformity of Cell Shape |
| 1 – 10 | 7. Bare Nuclei | BN | 6. Single Epithelial Cell Size |
| – 10 | 9. Normal Nucleoli | NN | 8. Bland Chromatin |
| 10 | 11. Class: | Class | 10. Mitoses |
| | | (0 for benign, 1 for malignant) | Mit |

$k = 0.01$

full outcome = 122.8882

deleted Size

new outcome = 120.8891

deleted SECS

new outcome = 119.2668

The variables used in this model are: Thick Shape Adh BN BC NN Mit

$k = 0.05$

full outcome = 122.8882

deleted Size

new outcome = 120.8891

deleted SECS

new outcome = 119.2668

deleted NN

new outcome = 121.7218

The variables used in this model are: Thick Shape Adh BN BC Mit

significance test approach

$k = 0.01$ or $k = 0.05$ (same)

Thick Adh BN BC

2c – Discrete Case $n > 5000$ $p < 10$

0–1Y Blocks Classification

use 1~10 attributes to predict the 11th attribute: class

<https://archive.ics.uci.edu/ml/machine-learning-databases/page-blocks/>

Number of Attributes height: integer. | Height of the block. lenth: integer. |
Length of the block. area: integer. | Area of the block (height * lenth); eccen:
continuous. | Eccentricity of the block (lenth / height); p_black: continuous. | Percentage of
black pixels within the block (blackpix / area); p_and: continuous. | Percentage of black
pixels after the application of the Run Length Smoothing Algorithm (RLSA) (blackand / area);
mean_tr: continuous. | Mean number of white-black transitions (blackpix / wb_trans);
blackpix: integer. | Total number of black pixels in the original bitmap of the block. blackand:
integer. | Total number of black pixels in the bitmap of the block after the RLSA. wb_trans:
integer. | Number of white-black transitions in the original bitmap of the block. $k = 0.01$
full outcome = 1636.061

deleted area new outcome = 1651.106 deleted mean_tr new outcome = 1653.132

The variables used in this model are: height lenth eccen p_black p_and blackpix blackand
wb_trans

$k = 0.05$

deleted area new outcome = 1651.106 deleted mean_tr new outcome = 1653.132

deleted blackand new outcome = 1707.096 deleted blackpix new outcome = 1705.208

deleted wb_trans new outcome = 1708.491

The variables used in this model are: height lenth eccen p_black p_and

significance test approach

$k = 0.01$ or $k = 0.05$ (same)

all variables except for mean_tr

2c – Discrete Case $n < 1000$ $p > 10$

0-1 Y Wine Recognition Data

Use 2~14 attributes to predict 1st attribute: class

<https://archive.ics.uci.edu/ml/datasets/Wine>

$k = 0.01$

full outcome = 28 deleted Proline new outcome = 26 deleted Magnesium
new outcome = 24 deleted intensity new outcome = 22 deleted phenols
new outcome = 20 deleted Malic new outcome = 18

The variables used in this model are: Alcohol Ash Alcalinity Flavanoids
Nonflavanoid Proanthocyanins Hue diluted

$k = 0.05$

full outcome = 28 deleted Proline new outcome = 26 deleted Magnesium
new outcome = 24 deleted intensity new outcome = 22 deleted phenols
new outcome = 20 deleted Malic new outcome = 18

The variables used in this model are: Alcohol Ash Alcalinity Flavanoids
Nonflavanoid Proanthocyanins Hue diluted

significance test approach
no variables

2c – $n < 1000$ $p < 10$ continuous Y

<https://archive.ics.uci.edu/ml/datasets/Energy+efficiency>

use 1~8 attributes to predict the 9th

$k = 0.01$

full outcome = 0.9154303

The variables used in this model are: X1 X2 X3 X4 X5 X6 X7 X8

$k = 0.05$

full outcome = 0.9154303

deleted X5

new outcome = 0.8978163

The variables used in this model are: X1 X2 X3 X4 X6 X7

ŷ significance test approach

$k = 0.01$ or $k = 0.05$ (same)

X1 X2 X3 X5 X7 X8

2c - $n > 5000$ $p < 10$ continuous Y Blocks Classification

use 2~9 attributes to predict the 1st attribute $k = 0.01$ full outcome = 0.2802776

deleted F5

new outcome = 0.2800135

deleted F7

new outcome = 0.2789552

The variables used in this model are: F1 F2 F3 F4 F6 F8

$k = 0.05$

full outcome = 0.2802776

deleted F5

new outcome = 0.2800135

deleted F7

new outcome = 0.2789552

deleted F1

new outcome = 0.2703461

The variables used in this model are: F2 F3 F4 F6 F8

significance test approach

$k = 0.01$ or $k = 0.05$ (same)

F1 F2 F3 F4 F5 F6 F7 F8

2d – Leave one out strategy of PAC

Cross Validation – Method used to validate the effectiveness of different models by training the algorithm on a subset of data

Function – `leave1out01()` calculates the PAC value using the leave one out method

Function – `predVal(x,y,predictors)` evaluates the predicted value of Y based on logistic regression with 0.5 as the criterion

2d – Leave one out strategy of PAC

Using the “leaving one out “ to do the pima example,
we get the same result

full outcome = 0.7682292

deleted Thick

new outcome = 0.7682292

deleted Insul

new outcome = 0.7695312

deleted BP

new outcome = 0.7695312

deleted Age

new outcome = 0.7708333

The variables used in this model are: NPreg Gluc BMI
Genet