

Name: \_\_\_\_\_

Directions: **Work only on this sheet** (on both sides, if needed); do not turn in any supplementary sheets of paper. There is actually plenty of room for your answers, as long as you organize yourself BEFORE starting writing.

1. Suppose  $X$  has an  $N(\mu, \mu^2)$  distribution, i.e. with the standard deviation equal to the mean. (A common assumption in regression contexts.) Show that  $h(X) = \ln(X)$  will be a variance-stabilizing transformation.
2. For each of the following quantities, state whether the given estimator is unbiased in the given context:

- (a) (4.15), p. 97, as an estimator of  $\sigma^2$
- (b)  $\hat{p}$ , as an estimator of  $p$ , p.105
- (c)  $\hat{p}(1 - \hat{p})$ , as an estimator of  $p(1-p)$ , p.105
- (d)  $\bar{X} - \bar{Y}$ , as an estimator of  $\mu_1 - \mu_2$ , p.107
- (e)  $\frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=1}^n (X_i - \mu_1)^2$  (assuming  $\mu_1$  is known), as an estimator of  $\sigma_1^2$ , p.107
- (f)  $\bar{X}$ , as an estimator of  $\mu_1$ , p.107, *but sampling (from the population of Davis) without replacement*

3. Consider the classical, unbiased estimator of  $\sigma^2$ ,

$$\tilde{s}^2 = \frac{1}{n-1} \sum_{i=1}^n (W_i - \bar{W})^2 \quad (1)$$

Show that  $\tilde{s}$  is a biased estimator of  $\sigma$ . (Hint: Consider the quantity  $Var(\tilde{s})$ .)

**Solutions:**

1.  $d/dt \ln(t) = 1/t$ , so

$$\text{asympt. } Var[h(X)] = [h(\mu)]^2 Var(X) = (1/\mu)^2 \mu^2 = 1 \quad (2)$$

- 2.

- (a) biased, as shown in Section 4.2.7
- (b) unbiased, since  $\hat{p}$  is a special case of  $\bar{W}$ , and the latter was shown to be unbiased in Section 4.2.2.1
- (c) biased, since  $\hat{p}(1 - \hat{p})$  is a special case of  $s^2$ ; see (a) above
- (d) unbiased, again due to Section 4.2.2.1
- (e) using the properties of expected value and the fact that each  $X_i$  has the same distribution as population 1, we have that the expected value of the specified quantity is  $E[(X - \mu_1)^2]$ , i.e.  $\sigma_1^2$ , and thus the quantity is unbiased
- (f) unbiased, since the derivation of  $E(\bar{W}) = \mu$  in Section 4.2.2.1 used only properties of  $E(\cdot)$  that do not require independence

3. (This problem was intended to be difficult, though short.)

$$0 < Var(\tilde{s}) \quad (\tilde{s} \text{ is not const.}) \quad (3)$$

$$= E(\tilde{s}^2) - [E(\tilde{s})]^2 \quad (1.44) \quad (4)$$

$$= \sigma^2 - [E(\tilde{s})]^2 \quad \tilde{s} \text{ is unbiased} \quad (5)$$

Therefore  $[E(\tilde{s})] < \sigma$ , and  $[\tilde{s}]$  is biased, in fact biased downward.