

# Random Number Generation

Norm Matloff

February 21, 2006  
©2006, N.S. Matloff

## Contents

<b>1</b>	<b>Uniform Random Number Generation</b>	<b>2</b>
<b>2</b>	<b>Generating Random Numbers from Continuous Distributions</b>	<b>3</b>
2.1	The Inverse Transformation Method . . . . .	3
2.1.1	General Description of the Method . . . . .	3
2.1.2	Example: The Exponential Family . . . . .	4
2.2	The Acceptance/Rejection Method . . . . .	4
2.2.1	General Description of the Method . . . . .	4
2.2.2	Example . . . . .	4
2.3	<i>Ad Hoc</i> Methods . . . . .	5
2.3.1	Example: The Erlang Family . . . . .	5
2.3.2	Example: The Normal Family . . . . .	5
<b>3</b>	<b>Generating Random Numbers from Discrete Distributions</b>	<b>6</b>
3.1	The Inverse Transformation Method . . . . .	6
3.2	<i>Ad Hoc</i> Methods . . . . .	6
3.2.1	The Binomial Family . . . . .	6
3.2.2	The Geometric Family . . . . .	6
3.2.3	The Poisson Family . . . . .	6

# 1 Uniform Random Number Generation

The basic building block for generating random numbers from various distributions is a generator of uniform random numbers on the interval (0,1), i.e. from the distribution  $U(0,1)$ .<sup>1</sup> How is this accomplished?

Due to the finite precision of computers, we cannot generate a true continuous random variate. However, we will generate a discrete random variate which behaves very close to  $U(0,1)$ .

There is a very rich literature on the generation of random integers, commonly called **pseudorandom numbers** because they are actually deterministic. Pseudorandom numbers can be divided by their upper bound to generate  $U(0,1)$  variates. Here is an example (in pseudocode):

```
1 int U01()
2 constant C = 25173
3 constant D = 13849
4 constant M = 32768
5 static Seed
6 Seed = (C*Seed + D) % M
7 return Seed/((float) M)
```

The name **Seed** stems from the fact that most random number libraries ask the user to specify what they call the **seed value**. You can now see what that means. The value the user gives “seeds” the sequence of random numbers that are generated. Note that **Seed** is declared as **static**, so that it retains its value between calls.

This certainly will give us values in (0,1), and intuitively it is plausible that they are “random.” But is this a “good” approximation to a generator of  $U(0,1)$  variates? What does “good” really mean?

Here is what we would like to happen. Suppose we call the function again and again, with  $X_i$  being the value returned by the  $i^{th}$  call. Then ideally the  $X_i$  should be independent, which means we would have

- For any  $0 < r < s < 1$ ,

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \frac{C(r, s, n)}{n} = s - r \quad (1)$$

where  $C(r,s,n)$  is a count of the number of  $X_i$  which fall into  $(r,s)$ ,  $i = 1, \dots, n$ .

- For any  $0 < r < s < 1$ ,  $0 < u < v < 1$  and integer  $k > 0$ ,

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \frac{D(r, s, u, v, n, k)}{n} = (s - r)(v - u) \quad (2)$$

where  $D(r,s,u,v,n,k)$  is the count of the number of  $i$  for which  $r < X_i < s$  and  $u < X_{i+k} < v$ ,  $i = 1, \dots, n$ .

- The third- and higher-dimensional versions of (2) hold.

---

<sup>1</sup>Since a random variable which is uniformly distributed on some interval places 0 mass on any particular point, it doesn't matter whether we talk here of (0,1), [0,1], etc.

Equation (1) is saying that the  $X_i$  are uniformly distributed on (0,1), and (2) and its third- and higher-dimensional versions say that the  $X_i$  are independent. How close can we come to fully satisfying these conditions?

To satisfy (1), the algorithm needs to have the property that **Seed** can take on all values in the set  $\{0, 1, 2, \dots, M-1\}$ , without repetition (until they are all hit). It can be shown that this condition will hold if all the following are true:

- **D** and **M** are relatively prime
- **C-1** is divisible by every prime factor of **M**
- if **M** is divisible by 4, then so is **C-1**

Typically **M** is chosen according to the word size of the machine. On today's 32-bit machines **M** might be  $2^{32}$ , or about 4 billion. This makes it easy to do the **mod M** operation.

However, determining which values of **C** and **D** give approximate independence is something that requires experimental investigation. Again, there is a rich literature on this, but we will not pursue it here. You can assume, though, that any reputable library package has chosen values which work well.<sup>2</sup>

## 2 Generating Random Numbers from Continuous Distributions

There are various methods to generate continuous random variates. We'll introduce some of them here.

### 2.1 The Inverse Transformation Method

#### 2.1.1 General Description of the Method

Suppose we wish to generate random numbers having density  $h$ . Let  $H$  denote the corresponding cumulative distribution function, and let  $G = H^{-1}$  (inverse in the same sense as square and square root operations are inverses of each other). Set  $X = G(U)$ , where  $U$  has a  $U(0,1)$  distribution. Then

$$\begin{aligned}
 F_X(t) &= P(X \leq t) \\
 &= P(G(U) \leq t) \\
 &= P(U \leq G^{-1}(t)) \\
 &= P(U \leq H(t)) \\
 &= H(t)
 \end{aligned} \tag{3}$$

In other words,  $X$  has density  $h$ , as desired.

---

<sup>2</sup>And of course you can do your own experimental investigation on it if you wish.

### 2.1.2 Example: The Exponential Family

For example, suppose we wish to generate exponential random variables with parameter  $\lambda$ . In this case,

$$H(t) = \int_0^t \lambda e^{-\lambda s} ds = 1 - e^{-\lambda t} \quad (4)$$

Writing  $u = H(t)$  and solving for  $t$ , we have

$$G(u) = -\frac{1}{\lambda} \ln(1 - u) \quad (5)$$

So, pseudocode for a function to generate such random variables would be

```
float Expon(float Lambda)
return -1.0/Lambda * log(1-U01())
```

If a random variable  $Y$  has a  $U(0,1)$  distribution then so does  $1-Y$ . We might be tempted to exploit this fact to save a step above, using **U01()** instead of **1-U01()**. But if **U01()** returns 0, we are then faced with computing  $\log(0)$ , which is undefined. (**U01()** never returns 1, as formulated in Section 1.)

## 2.2 The Acceptance/Rejection Method

### 2.2.1 General Description of the Method

Suppose again we wish to generate random numbers having density  $h$ . With  $h$  being the exponential density above,  $H^{-1}$  was easy to find, but in many other cases it is intractable. Here is another method we can use:

We think of some other density  $g$  such that

- We know how to generate random variables with density  $g$ .
- There is some  $c$  such that  $h(x) \leq cg(x)$  for all  $x$ .

Then it can be shown that the following will generate random variates with density  $h$ :

```
while true:
  generate Y from g
  generate U from U(0,1)
  if U <= h(Y)/(c*g(Y)) return Y
```

### 2.2.2 Example

As an example, consider the u-shaped density  $h(z) = 12(z - 0.5)^2$  on  $(0,1)$ . Take our  $g$  to be the  $U(0,1)$  density, and take  $c$  to be the maximum value of  $h$ , which is 3. Our code would then be

```
while true:
  generate U1 from U(0,1)
  generate U2 from U(0,1)
  if U2 <= 4*(U1-0.5)**2 return U1
```

## 2.3 Ad Hoc Methods

In some cases, we can see a good way to generate random numbers from a given distribution by thinking of the properties of the distribution.

### 2.3.1 Example: The Erlang Family

For example, consider the Erlang family of distributions, whose densities are given by

$$\frac{1}{(r-1)!} \lambda^r t^{r-1} e^{-\lambda t}, \quad t > 0 \quad (6)$$

Recall that this happens to be the density of the sum of  $r$  independent random variables which are exponentially distributed with rate parameter  $\lambda$ . This then suggests an easy way to generate random numbers from an Erlang distribution:

```
1 Sum = 0
2 for i = 1, ..., r
3     Sum = Sum + expon(lambda)
4 return Sum
```

where of course we mean the function `expon()` to be a function that generates exponentially distributed random variables, such as in Section 2.1.2.

### 2.3.2 Example: The Normal Family

Note first that all we need is a method to generate normal random variables of mean 0 and variance 1. If we need random variables of mean  $\mu$  and standard deviation  $\sigma$ , we simply generate  $N(0,1)$  variables, multiply by  $\sigma$  and adding  $\mu$ .

So, how do we generate  $N(0,1)$  variables? The inverse transformation method is infeasible, since the normal density cannot be integrated in closed form. We could use the acceptance/rejection method, with  $g$  being the exponential density with rate 1. After doing a little calculus, we would find that we could take  $c = \sqrt{2e/\pi}$ .

However, a better way exists. It can be shown that this works:

```
1 static n = 0
2 static Y
3 if n == 0
4     generate U1 and U2 from U(0,1)
5     R = sqrt(-2 log(U1))
6     T = 2 pi U2
7     X = R cos(T)
8     Y = R sin(T)
9     n = 1
10    return X
11 else
12     n = 0
13    return Y
```

## 3 Generating Random Numbers from Discrete Distributions

### 3.1 The Inverse Transformation Method

The method in Section 2.1 works in the discrete case too. Suppose we wish to generate random variates  $X$  which take on the values  $0, 1, 2, \dots$ . Let

$$q(i) = P(X \leq i) = \sum_{k=0}^i p_X(k) \quad (7)$$

Then our algorithm is

```
1 generate U from U(0,1)
2 I = 0
3 while true
4     if U < q(I) return I
5     I = I + 1
```

### 3.2 Ad Hoc Methods

Again, for certain specific distributions, we can sometimes make use of special properties that we know about those distributions.

#### 3.2.1 The Binomial Family

This one is obvious:

```
1 Count = 0
2 for I = 1, ..., n
3     generate U from U(0,1)
4     if U < p Count = Count + 1
5 return Count
```

#### 3.2.2 The Geometric Family

Again, obvious:

```
1 Count = 0
2 while true:
3     Count = Count + 1
4     generate U from U(0,1)
5     if U < p return Count
```

#### 3.2.3 The Poisson Family

Recall that if events, say arrivals to a queue, have interevent times which are independent exponentially distributed random variables with rate  $\lambda$ , then the number of events  $N(t)$  up to time  $t$  has a Poisson distribution with parameter  $\lambda t$ :

$$P(N(t) = k) = \frac{e^{-\lambda t} (\lambda t)^k}{k!}, k = 0, 1, 2, \dots \quad (8)$$

So, if we wish to generate random variates having a Poisson distribution with parameter  $\alpha$ , we can do the following:

```
1 Count = 0
2 Sum = 0
3 while 1
4     Count = Count + 1
5     Sum = Sum + expon(alpha)
6     if Sum > 1 return Count-1
```

where again `expon(alpha)` means generating an exponentially distributed random variable, in this case with rate  $\alpha$ .