Name:
Directions: Work only on this sheet (on both sides, if needed). MAKE SURE TO COPY YOUR ANSWERS TO A SEPARATE SHEET FOR SENDING ME AN ELECTRONIC COPY LATER.
Important note: Remember that in problems calling for R code, you are allowed to use any built-in R function, e.g. choose(), sum(), combn() etc.

1. There are various high-level threads access systems other than OpenMP. One of them is a language called Cilk++, developed at MIT and purchased by Intel. Judging from the names of the Cilk ++ constructs below, give names of OpenMP or pthreads constructs that should roughly correspond.
(a) (5) cilk_for
(b) (5) cilk::reducer_opadd
(c) (5) cilk::mutex
(d) (5) cilk_spawn (a dictionary definition of spawn: "to produce or create something")
2. (10) Explain briefly why R's snow library would be a poor choice essentially an impossible one - for pipelined parallel algorithms such as in our MPI example, Sec. 1.3.3.2.
3. Consider the in-place matrix transposition code in Sec. 4.3.4.
(a) (10) Fill in the blank: Since each thread works on completely separate elements of the matrix, there "should" not be a lot of cache coherency transactions. But there probably will be, due to the problem of $\qquad$
(b) (10) Give a potential improvement to one (1) line of the code.
4. (10) Consider the Dijkstra example, pp.71ff. Suppose that in the end, shortest distances from vertex 0 to vertex $i$ are roughly correlated with i, i.e. vertices with larger values of $i$ tend to be further from 0 . Comment on performance issues that would likely arise. Cite certain variables and/or lines so that it is clear that you understand the issues, but be brief. As usual, you are limited to a single, hopefully not very long, line.
5. (40) The function below is written in R, but could be applied to any scheduling situation; it is merely an analytical tool. The call form is statictime(tasktms, nth), where we have nth threads, and tasktms are the task times, assumed here to be known in advance.
Say for instance we have 6 tasks, needing times tasktms[1] through tasktms[6], and 2 threads. We would
have some kind of parallel for loop, iterating $\mathbf{i}$ through 1 to 6 ; one thread would handle some values of $\mathbf{i}$, and the other thread would handle the others.
Here we assume the static scheduling algorithm used by OpenMP, and the function will return the time needed to complete all the tasks. Fill in the blanks.
```
statictime <- function(tasktms, nth) {
    n<- length(tasktms)
    a1ton <- 1:n
    endtimes <- vector(length=nth)
    for (i in 1:nth) {
        # determine which tasks thread i will handle
            if (i != nth) {
            this1does <-
                # blank (a)
            } else
            this1does <-
                # blank (b)
            # blank (c)
    }
    # blank (d)
}
```


## Solutions:

1.a OMP for pragma
1.b OMP reduction clause, with '+'
1.c pthread_mutex_lock()
1.d pthread_create()
2. It would be impossible to get parallelism this way, without direct communication between the workers.
3.a false sharing
3.b One could try special scheduling, say dynamic, on line 12 .
4. Entry of the vertices into the nondone array will roughly occur in order of i, so that soon the low-numbered threads have little or no work to do in line 54 .
5. The problem was in part incorrectly specified, as it assumed (without saying so) a chunk size of 1. The code below is written under that assumption.
In actuality, the default for static scheduling is to divide the iterations in approximately equal-sized chunks. In our situation here, we could fill blank (a) with

```
((i-1) * floor(n/nth) + 1):(i * floor(n/nth)
```

and do something similar for blank (b).
This does not affect the answers to blanks (c) and (d).

```
statictime <- function(tasktms, nth) {
    n<- length(tasktms)
    a1ton <- 1:n
    endtimes <- vector(length=nth)
    for (i in 1:nth) {
        # determine which tasks thread i will handle
        if (i != nth) {
            this1does <-
                which(a1ton %% nth = i)
        } else
            this1does <-
                which(a1ton %% nth = 0)
        endtimes[i] <- sum(tasktms[this1does])
    }
    max(endtimes)
}
```

