

# 'revisit': an R Package for Taming the Reproducibility Problem

Norm Matloff  
Dept. of Computer Science  
University of California at Davis

with Laurel Beckett, Tiffany Chen, Reed Davis, Paul  
Thompson and Emily Watkins

Stanford R Group, 7 November, 2017

These slides will be available at  
<http://heather.cs.ucdavis.edu/StanfordR.pdf>

'revisit': an R  
Package for  
Taming the  
Reproducibil-  
ity  
Problem

Norm Matloff  
Dept. of  
Computer  
Science  
University of  
California at  
Davis

with Laurel  
Beckett,  
Tiffany Chen,  
Reed Davis,  
Paul  
Thompson  
and Emily  
Watkins

# If You Are Curious

'revisit': an R  
Package for  
Taming the  
Reproducibil-  
ity  
Problem

Norm Matloff  
Dept. of  
Computer  
Science  
University of  
California at  
Davis

with Laurel  
Beckett,  
Tiffany Chen,  
Reed Davis,  
Paul  
Thompson  
and Emily  
Watkins

## If You Are Curious

Why is a CS professor interested in this?

## If You Are Curious

Why is a CS professor interested in this?

- PhD in pure math, abstract probability theory.
- Joined UCD, working on statistical methodology.
- Was one of the founders of the UCD Stat Dept.
- Moved to CS Dept. long ago, but “Once a statistician, always a statistician.”

'revisit': an R  
Package for  
Taming the  
Reproducibil-  
ity  
Problem

Norm Matloff  
Dept. of  
Computer  
Science  
University of  
California at  
Davis

with Laurel  
Beckett,  
Tiffany Chen,  
Reed Davis,  
Paul  
Thompson  
and Emily  
Watkins

# Reinhart and Rogoff Case

## Reinhart and Rogoff Case

Reinhart and Rogoff (2010) found that high government debt  
⇒ weaker/negative economic growth.

## Reinhart and Rogoff Case

Reinhart and Rogoff (2010) found that high government debt  
 $\implies$  weaker/negative economic growth.

The finding was strongly influential.

## Reinhart and Rogoff Case

Reinhart and Rogoff (2010) found that high government debt  
⇒ weaker/negative economic growth.

The finding was strongly influential.

- Much cited by deficit hawks in Congress.

## Reinhart and Rogoff Case

Reinhart and Rogoff (2010) found that high government debt  
⇒ weaker/negative economic growth.

The finding was strongly influential.

- Much cited by deficit hawks in Congress.
- Often reported by the *Washington Post* as “consensus among economists.”

## Reinhart and Rogoff Case

Reinhart and Rogoff (2010) found that high government debt  
 $\implies$  weaker/negative economic growth.

The finding was strongly influential.

- Much cited by deficit hawks in Congress.
- Often reported by the *Washington Post* as “consensus among economists.”

And yet:

## Reinhart and Rogoff Case

Reinhart and Rogoff (2010) found that high government debt  
⇒ weaker/negative economic growth.

The finding was strongly influential.

- Much cited by deficit hawks in Congress.
- Often reported by the *Washington Post* as “consensus among economists.”

And yet:

- Analysis had spreadsheet errors, data available but missing in the spreadsheet.

## Reinhart and Rogoff Case

Reinhart and Rogoff (2010) found that high government debt  
⇒ weaker/negative economic growth.

The finding was strongly influential.

- Much cited by deficit hawks in Congress.
- Often reported by the *Washington Post* as “consensus among economists.”

And yet:

- Analysis had spreadsheet errors, data available but missing in the spreadsheet.
- Dubious weighting used (some say).

## Reinhart and Rogoff Case

Reinhart and Rogoff (2010) found that high government debt  
⇒ weaker/negative economic growth.

The finding was strongly influential.

- Much cited by deficit hawks in Congress.
- Often reported by the *Washington Post* as “consensus among economists.”

And yet:

- Analysis had spreadsheet errors, data available but missing in the spreadsheet.
- Dubious weighting used (some say).
- Should have used a longer time window (some say).

## Reinhart and Rogoff Case

Reinhart and Rogoff (2010) found that high government debt  
⇒ weaker/negative economic growth.

The finding was strongly influential.

- Much cited by deficit hawks in Congress.
- Often reported by the *Washington Post* as “consensus among economists.”

And yet:

- Analysis had spreadsheet errors, data available but missing in the spreadsheet.
- Dubious weighting used (some say).
- Should have used a longer time window (some say).
- After correction,  $-0.1\%$  growth becomes  $+2.2\%$

'revisit': an R  
Package for  
Taming the  
Reproducibil-  
ity  
Problem

Norm Matloff  
Dept. of  
Computer  
Science  
University of  
California at  
Davis

with Laurel  
Beckett,  
Tiffany Chen,  
Reed Davis,  
Paul  
Thompson  
and Emily  
Watkins

# Eichengreen Comment

## Eichengreen Comment

*The brouhaha over Carmen Reinhart and Kenneth Rogoffs article "Growth in a Time of Debt" has raised troubling questions not only about the efficacy of [fiscal] austerity, but also about the reliability of economic analysis. If a flawed study could appear in a prestigious working-paper series, why should anyone trust economic research?*

'revisit': an R  
Package for  
Taming the  
Reproducibil-  
ity  
Problem

Norm Matloff  
Dept. of  
Computer  
Science  
University of  
California at  
Davis

with Laurel  
Beckett,  
Tiffany Chen,  
Reed Davis,  
Paul  
Thompson  
and Emily  
Watkins

# Who's Right?

# Who's Right?

- Reinhart and Rogoff defended their basic findings.

## Who's Right?

- Reinhart and Rogoff defended their basic findings.
- Lots of controversy back and forth.

## Who's Right?

- Reinhart and Rogoff defended their basic findings.
- Lots of controversy back and forth.
- But the incident shows this:

# Who's Right?

'revisit': an R  
Package for  
Taming the  
Reproducibil-  
ity  
Problem

Norm Matloff  
Dept. of  
Computer  
Science  
University of  
California at  
Davis

with Laurel  
Beckett,  
Tiffany Chen,  
Reed Davis,  
Paul  
Thompson  
and Emily  
Watkins

- Reinhart and Rogoff defended their basic findings.
- Lots of controversy back and forth.
- But the incident shows this:
  - Research should be **transparent**.

## Who's Right?

- Reinhart and Rogoff defended their basic findings.
- Lots of controversy back and forth.
- But the incident shows this:
  - Research should be **transparent**.
  - We need to facilitate a **healthy skepticism**, by facilitating the asking of **“What if”** questions.

# Who's Right?

'revisit': an R  
Package for  
Taming the  
Reproducibil-  
ity  
Problem

Norm Matloff  
Dept. of  
Computer  
Science  
University of  
California at  
Davis

with Laurel  
Beckett,  
Tiffany Chen,  
Reed Davis,  
Paul  
Thompson  
and Emily  
Watkins

- Reinhart and Rogoff defended their basic findings.
- Lots of controversy back and forth.
- But the incident shows this:
  - Research should be **transparent**.
  - We need to facilitate a **healthy skepticism**, by facilitating **the asking of “What if” questions**.
  - In this case, e.g. “What if a different time frame had been used?” “What if a different weighting had been used?”

'revisit': an R  
Package for  
Taming the  
Reproducibil-  
ity  
Problem

Norm Matloff  
Dept. of  
Computer  
Science  
University of  
California at  
Davis

with Laurel  
Beckett,  
Tiffany Chen,  
Reed Davis,  
Paul  
Thompson  
and Emily  
Watkins

# Goals of 'revisit': Part 1

# Goals of 'revisit': Part 1

- An R-language package, usable in GUI and text forms.

## Goals of 'revisit': Part 1

- An R-language package, usable in GUI and text forms.  
(Text form does have some advantages.)

## Goals of 'revisit': Part 1

- An R-language package, usable in GUI and text forms. (Text form does have some advantages.)
- A “statistical audit.”

## Goals of 'revisit': Part 1

- An R-language package, usable in GUI and text forms. (Text form does have some advantages.)
- A “statistical audit.”
- Enable other scientists to “revisit” all numerical aspects of a scientific investigation:

## Goals of 'revisit': Part 1

- An R-language package, usable in GUI and text forms. (Text form does have some advantages.)
- A “statistical audit.”
- Enable other scientists to “revisit” all numerical aspects of a scientific investigation:
  - Data wrangling — dealing with outliers, missing values etc.

## Goals of 'revisit': Part 1

- An R-language package, usable in GUI and text forms. (Text form does have some advantages.)
- A “statistical audit.”
- Enable other scientists to “revisit” all numerical aspects of a scientific investigation:
  - Data wrangling — dealing with outliers, missing values etc.
  - Statistical analyses — assumptions, methods, variables, time frames, etc.

## Goals of 'revisit': Part 1

- An R-language package, usable in GUI and text forms. (Text form does have some advantages.)
- A “statistical audit.”
- Enable other scientists to “revisit” all numerical aspects of a scientific investigation:
  - Data wrangling — dealing with outliers, missing values etc.
  - Statistical analyses — assumptions, methods, variables, time frames, etc.
  - Allows users to ask the “What if?” questions, in nested manners.

## Goals of 'revisit': Part 1

- An R-language package, usable in GUI and text forms. (Text form does have some advantages.)
- A “statistical audit.”
- Enable other scientists to “revisit” all numerical aspects of a scientific investigation:
  - Data wrangling — dealing with outliers, missing values etc.
  - Statistical analyses — assumptions, methods, variables, time frames, etc.
  - Allows users to ask the “What if?” questions, in nested manners. E.g. asking 3 binary What Ifs forms 8 scenarios,

## Goals of 'revisit': Part 1

- An R-language package, usable in GUI and text forms. (Text form does have some advantages.)
- A “statistical audit.”
- Enable other scientists to “revisit” all numerical aspects of a scientific investigation:
  - Data wrangling — dealing with outliers, missing values etc.
  - Statistical analyses — assumptions, methods, variables, time frames, etc.
  - Allows users to ask the “What if?” questions, in nested manners. E.g. asking 3 binary What Ifs forms 8 scenarios, which in **revisit** we call *branches* after GitHub.

## Goals of 'revisit': Part 1

- An R-language package, usable in GUI and text forms. (Text form does have some advantages.)
- A “statistical audit.”
- Enable other scientists to “revisit” all numerical aspects of a scientific investigation:
  - Data wrangling — dealing with outliers, missing values etc.
  - Statistical analyses — assumptions, methods, variables, time frames, etc.
  - Allows users to ask the “What if?” questions, in nested manners. E.g. asking 3 binary What Ifs forms 8 scenarios, which in **revisit** we call *branches* after GitHub.
- Enable the original research team itself to do the above *during the research project*.

# Potti Case

'revisit': an R  
Package for  
Taming the  
Reproducibil-  
ity  
Problem

Norm Matloff  
Dept. of  
Computer  
Science  
University of  
California at  
Davis

with Laurel  
Beckett,  
Tiffany Chen,  
Reed Davis,  
Paul  
Thompson  
and Emily  
Watkins

# Potti Case

'revisit': an R  
Package for  
Taming the  
Reproducibil-  
ity  
Problem

Norm Matloff  
Dept. of  
Computer  
Science  
University of  
California at  
Davis

with Laurel  
Beckett,  
Tiffany Chen,  
Reed Davis,  
Paul  
Thompson  
and Emily  
Watkins

- Cancer, genomics research.

# Potti Case

'revisit': an R  
Package for  
Taming the  
Reproducibil-  
ity  
Problem

Norm Matloff  
Dept. of  
Computer  
Science  
University of  
California at  
Davis

with Laurel  
Beckett,  
Tiffany Chen,  
Reed Davis,  
Paul  
Thompson  
and Emily  
Watkins

- Cancer, genomics research.
- Clinical trials patients sued.

# Potti Case

'revisit': an R  
Package for  
Taming the  
Reproducibil-  
ity  
Problem

Norm Matloff  
Dept. of  
Computer  
Science  
University of  
California at  
Davis

with Laurel  
Beckett,  
Tiffany Chen,  
Reed Davis,  
Paul  
Thompson  
and Emily  
Watkins

- Cancer, genomics research.
- Clinical trials patients sued.
- Sloppiness, apparent fraud.

# Potti Case

'revisit': an R  
Package for  
Taming the  
Reproducibil-  
ity  
Problem

Norm Matloff  
Dept. of  
Computer  
Science  
University of  
California at  
Davis

with Laurel  
Beckett,  
Tiffany Chen,  
Reed Davis,  
Paul  
Thompson  
and Emily  
Watkins

- Cancer, genomics research.
- Clinical trials patients sued.
- Sloppiness, apparent fraud.
- But also *poor use of statistical methods*.

'revisit': an R  
Package for  
Taming the  
Reproducibil-  
ity  
Problem

Norm Matloff  
Dept. of  
Computer  
Science  
University of  
California at  
Davis

with Laurel  
Beckett,  
Tiffany Chen,  
Reed Davis,  
Paul  
Thompson  
and Emily  
Watkins

# The Statistical Methodology Aspect

# The Statistical Methodology Aspect

*Nature* reported on a survey of scientists about the  
reproducibility problem (emphasis added),

# The Statistical Methodology Aspect

*Nature* reported on a survey of scientists about the reproducibility problem (emphasis added),

*More than 60% of respondents [cited]...pressure to publish and **selective reporting**...More than half pointed to insufficient replication in the lab, poor oversight or **low statistical power**.*

*Respondents were asked to rate 11 different approaches to improving reproducibility...Nearly 90% — more than 1,000 people — ticked “**More robust experimental design,**” “**better statistics**” ...*

'revisit': an R  
Package for  
Taming the  
Reproducibil-  
ity  
Problem

Norm Matloff  
Dept. of  
Computer  
Science  
University of  
California at  
Davis

with Laurel  
Beckett,  
Tiffany Chen,  
Reed Davis,  
Paul  
Thompson  
and Emily  
Watkins

# ASA Statement on P-Values

## ASA Statement on P-Values

- The problems have been known all along, e.g. Meehl, “Ronald [Fisher] has befuddled us, mesmerized us, and led us down the primrose path.”

## ASA Statement on P-Values

- The problems have been known all along, e.g. Meehl, “Ronald [Fisher] has befuddled us, mesmerized us, and led us down the primrose path.”
- But as Twain said, “Everyone talks about the weather but no one does anything about it.”

## ASA Statement on P-Values

- The problems have been known all along, e.g. Meehl, “Ronald [Fisher] has befuddled us, mesmerized us, and led us down the primrose path.”
- But as Twain said, “Everyone talks about the weather but no one does anything about it.”
- Hence ASA’s first-ever, and long overdue, policy statement, 2016.

## ASA Statement on P-Values

- The problems have been known all along, e.g. Meehl, “Ronald [Fisher] has befuddled us, mesmerized us, and led us down the primrose path.”
- But as Twain said, “Everyone talks about the weather but no one does anything about it.”
- Hence ASA’s first-ever, and long overdue, policy statement, 2016.
- “The ASA releases this guidance on p-values to improve the conduct and interpretation of quantitative science and inform the growing emphasis on reproducibility of science research.”

'revisit': an R  
Package for  
Taming the  
Reproducibil-  
ity  
Problem

Norm Matloff  
Dept. of  
Computer  
Science  
University of  
California at  
Davis

with Laurel  
Beckett,  
Tiffany Chen,  
Reed Davis,  
Paul  
Thompson  
and Emily  
Watkins

# Multiple Inference Methods

# Multiple Inference Methods

Lack of use of multiple/simultaneous inference procedures, a  
severe problem:

## Multiple Inference Methods

Lack of use of multiple/simultaneous inference procedures, a severe problem:

- Even if there are no underlying interesting effects, if one performs enough tests, some “significant” ones will be found.

## Multiple Inference Methods

Lack of use of multiple/simultaneous inference procedures, a severe problem:

- Even if there are no underlying interesting effects, if one performs enough tests, some “significant” ones will be found.
- Old statistical joke: “If you beat the data long enough, they will confess.”

## Multiple Inference Methods

Lack of use of multiple/simultaneous inference procedures, a severe problem:

- Even if there are no underlying interesting effects, if one performs enough tests, some “significant” ones will be found.
- Old statistical joke: “If you beat the data long enough, they will confess.”
- ASA statement decries “p-hacking,” “data dredging,” and “publishing only significant results.”

## Multiple Inference Methods

Lack of use of multiple/simultaneous inference procedures, a severe problem:

- Even if there are no underlying interesting effects, if one performs enough tests, some “significant” ones will be found.
- Old statistical joke: “If you beat the data long enough, they will confess.”
- ASA statement decries “p-hacking,” “data dredging,” and “publishing only significant results.”
- One of the problems cited in the Potti case was “overfitting,” here meaning the above.

'revisit': an R  
Package for  
Taming the  
Reproducibil-  
ity  
Problem

Norm Matloff  
Dept. of  
Computer  
Science  
University of  
California at  
Davis

with Laurel  
Beckett,  
Tiffany Chen,  
Reed Davis,  
Paul  
Thompson  
and Emily  
Watkins

## Goals of 'revisit': Part 2

## Goals of 'revisit': Part 2

Encourage statistical best practices.

## Goals of 'revisit': Part 2

Encourage statistical best practices.

- The **revisit** package aims to wean users away from relying much on p-values.

## Goals of 'revisit': Part 2

Encourage statistical best practices.

- The **revisit** package aims to wean users away from relying much on p-values.
- Unfortunately, scientific journals will still require p-values,

## Goals of 'revisit': Part 2

Encourage statistical best practices.

- The **revisit** package aims to wean users away from relying much on p-values.
- Unfortunately, scientific journals will still require p-values, but **revisit** users can learn to de-emphasize them, and add confidence intervals, much more informative.

## Goals of 'revisit': Part 2

Encourage statistical best practices.

- The **revisit** package aims to wean users away from relying much on p-values.
- Unfortunately, scientific journals will still require p-values, but **revisit** users can learn to de-emphasize them, and add confidence intervals, much more informative.
- The package will be adding confidence interval alternatives to testing-only procedures.

## Goals of 'revisit': Part 2

Encourage statistical best practices.

- The **revisit** package aims to wean users away from relying much on p-values.
- Unfortunately, scientific journals will still require p-values, but **revisit** users can learn to de-emphasize them, and add confidence intervals, much more informative.
- The package will be adding confidence interval alternatives to testing-only procedures.
- E.g. log-linear model.

## Goals of 'revisit': Part 2

Encourage statistical best practices.

- The **revisit** package aims to wean users away from relying much on p-values.
- Unfortunately, scientific journals will still require p-values, but **revisit** users can learn to de-emphasize them, and add confidence intervals, much more informative.
- The package will be adding confidence interval alternatives to testing-only procedures.
- E.g. log-linear model. Presently even point estimates in R are available only on request, and even then without standard errors.

## Goals of 'revisit': Part 2

Encourage statistical best practices.

- The **revisit** package aims to wean users away from relying much on p-values.
- Unfortunately, scientific journals will still require p-values, but **revisit** users can learn to de-emphasize them, and add confidence intervals, much more informative.
- The package will be adding confidence interval alternatives to testing-only procedures.
- E.g. log-linear model. Presently even point estimates in R are available only on request, and even then without standard errors.
- Solution: Apply the “Poisson trick” and use **glm()**.

'revisit': an R  
Package for  
Taming the  
Reproducibil-  
ity  
Problem

Norm Matloff  
Dept. of  
Computer  
Science  
University of  
California at  
Davis

with Laurel  
Beckett,  
Tiffany Chen,  
Reed Davis,  
Paul  
Thompson  
and Emily  
Watkins

## Goals, Part 2, cont'd.

## Goals, Part 2, cont'd.

Dealing with the multiple inference issue:

## Goals, Part 2, cont'd.

Dealing with the multiple inference issue:

- The package attempts to count how many tests/confidence intervals the user has (directly or indirectly) performed.

## Goals, Part 2, cont'd.

Dealing with the multiple inference issue:

- The package attempts to count how many tests/confidence intervals the user has (directly or indirectly) performed. E.g., a call to **lm()** gives a p-value for each estimated coefficient.

## Goals, Part 2, cont'd.

Dealing with the multiple inference issue:

- The package attempts to count how many tests/confidence intervals the user has (directly or indirectly) performed. E.g., a call to **lm()** gives a p-value for each estimated coefficient.
- If too many (value might be user-defined), **revisit** issues a warning, "Consider using multiple inference methods."

## Goals, Part 2, cont'd.

Dealing with the multiple inference issue:

- The package attempts to count how many tests/confidence intervals the user has (directly or indirectly) performed. E.g., a call to **lm()** gives a p-value for each estimated coefficient.
- If too many (value might be user-defined), **revisit** issues a warning, “Consider using multiple inference methods.”
- Kind of like, “This deduction may result in an IRS audit.”

## Goals, Part 2, cont'd.

Dealing with the multiple inference issue:

- The package attempts to count how many tests/confidence intervals the user has (directly or indirectly) performed. E.g., a call to **lm()** gives a p-value for each estimated coefficient.
- If too many (value might be user-defined), **revisit** issues a warning, “Consider using multiple inference methods.”
- Kind of like, “This deduction may result in an IRS audit.”



## Goals, Part 2, cont'd.

Dealing with the multiple inference issue:

- The package attempts to count how many tests/confidence intervals the user has (directly or indirectly) performed. E.g., a call to **lm()** gives a p-value for each estimated coefficient.
- If too many (value might be user-defined), **revisit** issues a warning, "Consider using multiple inference methods."
- Kind of like, "This deduction may result in an IRS audit."



- Currently only Bonferroni offered, more coming.

'revisit': an R  
Package for  
Taming the  
Reproducibil-  
ity  
Problem

Norm Matloff  
Dept. of  
Computer  
Science  
University of  
California at  
Davis

with Laurel  
Beckett,  
Tiffany Chen,  
Reed Davis,  
Paul  
Thompson  
and Emily  
Watkins

# Wrapper Functions

# Wrapper Functions

- Users call **revisit** functions, as wrappers to standard R functions.

# Wrapper Functions

- Users call **revisit** functions, as wrappers to standard R functions.
- E.g., **lm()** (not implemented yet).

# Wrapper Functions

- Users call **revisit** functions, as wrappers to standard R functions.
- E.g., **lm()** (not implemented yet). User runs our wrapper, **lm.rv()**.

## Wrapper Functions

- Users call **revisit** functions, as wrappers to standard R functions.
- E.g., **lm()** (not implemented yet). User runs our wrapper, **lm.rv()**.
- Among other things, **lm.rv()** will run, say, **qr()** from the **quantreg** package, then display for the user the two sets of estimated regression coefficients. If they differ much, some outlier hunting/deletion might be warranted.

## Wrapper Functions

- Users call **revisit** functions, as wrappers to standard R functions.
- E.g., **lm()** (not implemented yet). User runs our wrapper, **lm.rv()**.
- Among other things, **lm.rv()** will run, say, **qr()** from the **quantreg** package, then display for the user the two sets of estimated regression coefficients. If they differ much, some outlier hunting/deletion might be warranted.
- In addition, some plots from my **regtools** package will be run (on CRAN, coordinated with my new book).

'revisit': an R  
Package for  
Taming the  
Reproducibil-  
ity  
Problem

Norm Matloff  
Dept. of  
Computer  
Science  
University of  
California at  
Davis

with Laurel  
Beckett,  
Tiffany Chen,  
Reed Davis,  
Paul  
Thompson  
and Emily  
Watkins

# Structure of the revisit Package

# Structure of the revisit Package

- Available at [github/matloff](https://github.com/matloff).

## Structure of the revisit Package

- Available at **github/matloff**.
- GUI and text versions.

## Structure of the revisit Package

- Available at **github/matloff**.
- GUI and text versions.
- Case studies.

## Structure of the revisit Package

- Available at **github/matloff**.
- GUI and text versions.
- Case studies.
- GitHub-inspired branch structure. Each “What if?” scenario is saved as a separate file.

## Structure of the revisit Package

- Available at **github/matloff**.
- GUI and text versions.
- Case studies.
- GitHub-inspired branch structure. Each “What if?” scenario is saved as a separate file.
- Example scenario: Delete outliers  $x$ ,  $y$  and  $z$ ; choose predictor variables  $u$  and  $v$ ; use Bonferroni adjustments.

## Structure of the revisit Package

- Available at **github/matloff**.
- GUI and text versions.
- Case studies.
- GitHub-inspired branch structure. Each “What if?” scenario is saved as a separate file.
- Example scenario: Delete outliers  $x$ ,  $y$  and  $z$ ; choose predictor variables  $u$  and  $v$ ; use Bonferroni adjustments.
- A scientist who has explored several scenarios can package these branches and send them to others for further exploration.

'revisit': an R  
Package for  
Taming the  
Reproducibil-  
ity  
Problem

Norm Matloff  
Dept. of  
Computer  
Science  
University of  
California at  
Davis

with Laurel  
Beckett,  
Tiffany Chen,  
Reed Davis,  
Paul  
Thompson  
and Emily  
Watkins

# GUI Example



'revisit': an R  
Package for  
Taming the  
Reproducibil-  
ity  
Problem

# GUI Example

Norm Matloff  
Dept. of  
Computer  
Science  
University of  
California at  
Davis

with Laurel  
Beckett,  
Tiffany Chen,  
Reed Davis,  
Paul  
Thompson  
and Emily  
Watkins

The screenshot shows the RStudio interface with the 'Revisit' dialog box open. The dialog box has the following fields and buttons:

- Filename (w/o Branch# or .R): code/pima
- Run Start Line: 17
- Save Branch #: 2
- Load Branch #: 1
- Run Through Line: 16
- Description: Use t.test.rv with bonf=8

Buttons: Load Code, Next, Run/Continue, Save Code

The console output shows the execution of the code from line 1 through 16:

```
RUN FROM 1 THROUGH 16
3 # Use t.test.rv with bonf=8
4 # RV history end
5 data(pima)
6 # divide into diabetic, non-diabetic
7 d <- which(pima$Diab == 1)
8 diab <- pima[d,]
9 nondiab <- pima[-d,]
10 # form a confidence interval for each variable, difference between
11 # diabetics and non-diabetics
12 for (i in 1:8) {
13   tmp <- t.test.rv(diab[,i],nondiab[,i],bonf=8)$conf.int
14   cat(names(pima)[i], ' ', tmp[1], tmp[2], '\n')
15 }
16 print(apply(pima[,1:8], 2, range))
```

The console output shows the following results:

```
Age      3.546533 8.207795
[1,] "SAVE 1 Use t.test.rv with bonf=8"
[1] "code/pima.1.R loaded"
[1] "RUN FROM 1 THROUGH 16"
NPreG   0.8385993 2.296744
gluc    25.02953 37.5254
BP      -1.593281 6.874535
Thick   -0.9846989 5.985057
Insul   5.279976 57.80767
BMI     3.297745 6.37893
Genet   0.04827773 0.1932543
Age      3.546533 8.207795
NPreG   0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0.078 21
[1,] 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0.078 21
[2,] 17 199 122 99 846 67.1 2.420 81
```

But it will be clearer to display text here.

'revisit': an R  
Package for  
Taming the  
Reproducibil-  
ity  
Problem

Norm Matloff  
Dept. of  
Computer  
Science  
University of  
California at  
Davis

with Laurel  
Beckett,  
Tiffany Chen,  
Reed Davis,  
Paul  
Thompson  
and Emily  
Watkins

# Case Study: Zavodny

## Case Study: Zavodny

- Zavodny study, commissioned by an advocacy group in 2011, of impact of H-1B work visa program on U.S. workers.

## Case Study: Zavodny

- Zavodny study, commissioned by an advocacy group in 2011, of impact of H-1B work visa program on U.S. workers.
- Highly controversial, much criticism of the visa by Clinton, Sanders, Trump etc. in 2016 election.

## Case Study: Zavodny

- Zavodny study, commissioned by an advocacy group in 2011, of impact of H-1B work visa program on U.S. workers.
- Highly controversial, much criticism of the visa by Clinton, Sanders, Trump etc. in 2016 election.
- Zavodny found that each visa worker creates 2.62 new jobs for Americans. Peri (2014), also funded by an advocacy group, had similar findings. Gelber *et al* found the opposite, a crowding-out of U.S. workers.

## Case Study: Zavodny

- Zavodny study, commissioned by an advocacy group in 2011, of impact of H-1B work visa program on U.S. workers.
- Highly controversial, much criticism of the visa by Clinton, Sanders, Trump etc. in 2016 election.
- Zavodny found that each visa worker creates 2.62 new jobs for Americans. Peri (2014), also funded by an advocacy group, had similar findings. Gelber *et al* found the opposite, a crowding-out of U.S. workers.
- Dr. Zavodny kindly shared her code and data with Reed Davis, one of the **revisit** authors.

'revisit': an R  
Package for  
Taming the  
Reproducibil-  
ity  
Problem

Norm Matloff  
Dept. of  
Computer  
Science  
University of  
California at  
Davis

with Laurel  
Beckett,  
Tiffany Chen,  
Reed Davis,  
Paul  
Thompson  
and Emily  
Watkins

# Zavodny, cont'd.

## Zavodny, cont'd.

```
> library(revisit)
> rvinit() # required initialization
> loadb('ols262.R') # load the branch
> lcc() # list the code
...
...
4 data(zav)
5 zav = zav[zav$year < 2008,] # 2008-2010 removed
...
...
```

Again, this is R code converted from Stata. Does it reproduce Zavodny's results? Yes:

```
> runb()
[1] " Slope      = 0.00446438147988468"
[1] " P-value    = 0.0140870195483076"
[1] " Jobs       = 262.985782017836"
```

'revisit': an R  
Package for  
Taming the  
Reproducibil-  
ity  
Problem

Norm Matloff  
Dept. of  
Computer  
Science  
University of  
California at  
Davis

with Laurel  
Beckett,  
Tiffany Chen,  
Reed Davis,  
Paul  
Thompson  
and Emily  
Watkins

# Zavodny, cont'd.

## Zavodny, cont'd.

But Zavodny omitted 2008-2010. What if...?

## Zavodny, cont'd.

But Zavodny omitted 2008-2010. What if...?

We call **revisit** function **edt()** to edit the code (visual editor in GUI),

## Zavodny, cont'd.

But Zavodny omitted 2008-2010. What if...?

We call **revisit** function **edt()** to edit the code (visual editor in GUI), commenting out line 5. Then:

## Zavodny, cont'd.

But Zavodny omitted 2008-2010. What if...?

We call **revisit** function **edt()** to edit the code (visual editor in GUI), commenting out line 5. Then:

```
> runb()  
[1] "Slope    = 0.00180848722715659"  
[1] "P-value  = 0.33637275201986"  
[1] "Jobs     = 124.352299406043"
```

## Zavodny, cont'd.

But Zavodny omitted 2008-2010. What if...?

We call **revisit** function **edt()** to edit the code (visual editor in GUI), commenting out line 5. Then:

```
> runb()  
[1] " Slope      = 0.00180848722715659"  
[1] " P-value    = 0.33637275201986"  
[1] " Jobs       = 124.352299406043"
```

Now, the result is no longer significant [sic], and the point estimate has been cut in half.

'revisit': an R  
Package for  
Taming the  
Reproducibil-  
ity  
Problem

Norm Matloff  
Dept. of  
Computer  
Science  
University of  
California at  
Davis

with Laurel  
Beckett,  
Tiffany Chen,  
Reed Davis,  
Paul  
Thompson  
and Emily  
Watkins

# Zavodny, cont'd.

## Zavodny, cont'd.

### Some other What Ifs:

## Zavodny, cont'd.

Some other What Ifs:  
Adj.  $R^2$  is 0.91, quite high.

## Zavodny, cont'd.

Some other What Ifs:

Adj.  $R^2$  is 0.91, quite high. The author's model include dummies for state effects. What if we remove them?

## Zavodny, cont'd.

Some other What Ifs:

Adj.  $R^2$  is 0.91, quite high. The author's model include dummies for state effects. What if we remove them?

...

Coefficients:

	Estimate	Std. Error
(Intercept)	4.1780416	0.0106922
lnimmshare_emp_stem_e_grad	-0.0130295	0.0036493
lnimmshare_emp_stem_n_grad	0.0005722	0.0040274
fyear2001	-0.0098670	0.0104854

...

Multiple R-squared: 0.372, Adj. R-squared: 0.3517

## Zavodny, cont'd.

Some other What Ifs:

Adj.  $R^2$  is 0.91, quite high. The author's model include dummies for state effects. What if we remove them?

...

Coefficients:

	Estimate	Std. Error
(Intercept)	4.1780416	0.0106922
lnimmshare_emp_stem_e_grad	-0.0130295	0.0036493
lnimmshare_emp_stem_n_grad	0.0005722	0.0040274
fyear2001	-0.0098670	0.0104854

...

Multiple R-squared: 0.372, Adj. R-squared: 0.3517

Using only immigrant share and time effects,  $R^2$  drops a lot.

'revisit': an R  
Package for  
Taming the  
Reproducibil-  
ity  
Problem

Norm Matloff  
Dept. of  
Computer  
Science  
University of  
California at  
Davis

with Laurel  
Beckett,  
Tiffany Chen,  
Reed Davis,  
Paul  
Thompson  
and Emily  
Watkins

# Zavodny, cont'd.

## Zavodny, cont'd.

Very complex topic, many assumptions etc.

But clearly Zavodny's "2.62 jobs created by each H-1B" figure  
– very widely cited in the press — cannot be taken as definitive.

'revisit': an R  
Package for  
Taming the  
Reproducibil-  
ity  
Problem

Norm Matloff  
Dept. of  
Computer  
Science  
University of  
California at  
Davis

with Laurel  
Beckett,  
Tiffany Chen,  
Reed Davis,  
Paul  
Thompson  
and Emily  
Watkins

# Who Might Use revisit?

## Who Might Use revisit?

- Good for coordination within a research team, during a project, asking a lot of What If questions.

## Who Might Use revisit?

- Good for coordination within a research team, during a project, asking a lot of What If questions.
- Voluntary publication of the data and **revisit** files by a research team, in the spirit of open intellectual inquiry and reproducibility.

## Who Might Use revisit?

- Good for coordination within a research team, during a project, asking a lot of What If questions.
- Voluntary publication of the data and **revisit** files by a research team, in the spirit of open intellectual inquiry and reproducibility. Would have been nice if Reinhart, Rogoff, Potti and Zavadny had done this.

## Who Might Use revisit?

- Good for coordination within a research team, during a project, asking a lot of What If questions.
- Voluntary publication of the data and **revisit** files by a research team, in the spirit of open intellectual inquiry and reproducibility. Would have been nice if Reinhart, Rogoff, Potti and Zavadny had done this.
- Possible adoption by journals and funding agencies, as a requirement for publication/funding?

## Who Might Use revisit?

- Good for coordination within a research team, during a project, asking a lot of What If questions.
- Voluntary publication of the data and **revisit** files by a research team, in the spirit of open intellectual inquiry and reproducibility. Would have been nice if Reinhart, Rogoff, Potti and Zavadny had done this.
- Possible adoption by journals and funding agencies, as a requirement for publication/funding?
- Use as a teaching tool, especially with the case studies.