Xiao (Max) Gu and Norm Matloff University of California at Davis

# Revisiting the Available Cases Method for Missing Values

Xiao (Max) Gu and Norm Matloff University of California at Davis

JSM 2015

Xiao (Max) Gu and Norm Matloff University of California at Davis

### Taxonomy of Methods

◆□▶ ◆□▶ ◆臣▶ ◆臣▶ 臣 のへぐ

Xiao (Max) Gu and Norm Matloff University of California at Davis

## Taxonomy of Methods

◆□▶ ◆□▶ ◆臣▶ ◆臣▶ 臣 のへぐ

Major current methods:

Xiao (Max) Gu and Norm Matloff University of California at Davis

# Taxonomy of Methods

▲ロト ▲帰 ト ▲ ヨ ト ▲ ヨ ト ・ ヨ ・ の Q ()

Major current methods:

- Use only complete cases (CC).
- Multiple imputation (MI).
- MLE.

Xiao (Max) Gu and Norm Matloff University of California at Davis

# Taxonomy of Methods

▲ロト ▲帰 ト ▲ ヨ ト ▲ ヨ ト ・ ヨ ・ の Q ()

Major current methods:

- Use only complete cases (CC).
- Multiple imputation (MI).
- MLE.

Forgotten method:

Xiao (Max) Gu and Norm Matloff University of California at Davis

# Taxonomy of Methods

▲ロト ▲帰 ト ▲ ヨ ト ▲ ヨ ト ・ ヨ ・ の Q ()

Major current methods:

- Use only complete cases (CC).
- Multiple imputation (MI).
- MLE.

Forgotten method:

• Available cases (AC). Use partially-intact cases when possible.

Xiao (Max) Gu and Norm Matloff University of California at Davis

### Overview of AC Method

◆□▶ ◆□▶ ◆臣▶ ◆臣▶ 臣 のへぐ

Xiao (Max) Gu and Norm Matloff University of California at Davis

### Overview of AC Method

E.g. linear regreesion (random-X).

Xiao (Max) Gu and Norm Matloff University of California at Davis

### Overview of AC Method

E.g. linear regreesion (random-X).

$$\widehat{\beta} = (X'X)^{-1}X'Y = \left[\frac{1}{n}(X'X)^{-1}\right]\left[\frac{1}{n}X'Y\right] = A^{-1}D \qquad (1)$$

Xiao (Max) Gu and Norm Matloff University of California at Davis

### Overview of AC Method

E.g. linear regreesion (random-X).

$$\widehat{\beta} = (X'X)^{-1}X'Y = \left[\frac{1}{n}(X'X)^{-1}\right]\left[\frac{1}{n}X'Y\right] = A^{-1}D \qquad (1)$$

#### A estimates quantities like

Xiao (Max) Gu and Norm Matloff University of California at Davis

### Overview of AC Method

E.g. linear regreesion (random-X).

$$\widehat{\beta} = (X'X)^{-1}X'Y = \left[\frac{1}{n}(X'X)^{-1}\right]\left[\frac{1}{n}X'Y\right] = A^{-1}D \qquad (1)$$

A estimates quantities like

$$E[X^{(i)}X^{(j)}]$$
 (2)

Xiao (Max) Gu and Norm Matloff University of California at Davis

### Overview of AC Method

E.g. linear regreesion (random-X).

$$\widehat{\beta} = (X'X)^{-1}X'Y = \left[\frac{1}{n}(X'X)^{-1}\right]\left[\frac{1}{n}X'Y\right] = A^{-1}D \qquad (1)$$

A estimates quantities like

$$E[X^{(i)}X^{(j)}]$$
 (2)

▲□▶ ▲□▶ ▲□▶ ▲□▶ □ のQ@

while D estimates quanatities like

Xiao (Max) Gu and Norm Matloff University of California at Davis

### Overview of AC Method

E.g. linear regreesion (random-X).

$$\widehat{\beta} = (X'X)^{-1}X'Y = \left[\frac{1}{n}(X'X)^{-1}\right]\left[\frac{1}{n}X'Y\right] = A^{-1}D \qquad (1)$$

A estimates quantities like

$$E[X^{(i)}X^{(j)}]$$
 (2)

while D estimates quanatities like

$$E[X^{(i)}Y] \tag{3}$$

Xiao (Max) Gu and Norm Matloff University of California at Davis

### AC Overview, cont'd.

◆□▶ ◆□▶ ◆臣▶ ◆臣▶ 臣 のへぐ

Xiao (Max) Gu and Norm Matloff University of California at Davis

### AC Overview, cont'd.

◆□▶ ◆□▶ ◆臣▶ ◆臣▶ 臣 のへぐ

#### CC seems wasteful.

Xiao (Max) Gu and Norm Matloff University of California at Davis

# AC Overview, cont'd.

▲ロト ▲帰 ト ▲ ヨ ト ▲ ヨ ト ・ ヨ ・ の Q ()

#### CC seems wasteful.

• In estimating, say,  $E[X^{(2)}Y]$ , why throw out cases in which  $X^{(2)}$  and Y are intact but  $X^{(5)}$  is missing?

Xiao (Max) Gu and Norm Matloff University of California at Davis

# AC Overview, cont'd.

#### CC seems wasteful.

- In estimating, say,  $E[X^{(2)}Y]$ , why throw out cases in which  $X^{(2)}$  and Y are intact but  $X^{(5)}$  is missing?
- Instead, estimate by  $E[X^{(i)}Y]$  by

$$\frac{1}{M} \sum_{X^{(i)}, Y \text{ intact}} X_k^{(i)} Y_k \tag{4}$$

where M = # of cases with both  $X^{(i)}$  and Y intact.

Xiao (Max) Gu and Norm Matloff University of California at Davis

# AC Overview, cont'd.

#### CC seems wasteful.

- In estimating, say,  $E[X^{(2)}Y]$ , why throw out cases in which  $X^{(2)}$  and Y are intact but  $X^{(5)}$  is missing?
- Instead, estimate by  $E[X^{(i)}Y]$  by

$$\frac{1}{M} \sum_{X^{(i)}, Y \text{ intact}} X_k^{(i)} Y_k \tag{4}$$

where M = # of cases with both  $X^{(i)}$  and Y intact.

• Same for the quantities  $E[X^{(i)}X^{(j)}]$ .

Xiao (Max) Gu and Norm Matloff University of California at Davis

### AC Sounds Good, But Not Popular

◆□▶ ◆□▶ ◆臣▶ ◆臣▶ 臣 の�?

Xiao (Max) Gu and Norm Matloff University of California at Davis

## AC Sounds Good, But Not Popular

• AC should be more accurate that CC — uses more data.

▲□▶ ▲□▶ ▲□▶ ▲□▶ □ のQ@

Xiao (Max) Gu and Norm Matloff University of California at Davis

## AC Sounds Good, But Not Popular

• AC should be more accurate that CC — uses more data.

▲ロト ▲帰 ト ▲ ヨ ト ▲ ヨ ト ・ ヨ ・ の Q ()

• Yet, AC seems to have been dismissed early on in the Missing Value literature,

Xiao (Max) Gu and Norm Matloff University of California at Davis

## AC Sounds Good, But Not Popular

• AC should be more accurate that CC — uses more data.

▲ロト ▲帰 ト ▲ ヨ ト ▲ ヨ ト ・ ヨ ・ の Q ()

• Yet, AC seems to have been dismissed early on in the Missing Value literature, apparently because:

Xiao (Max) Gu and Norm Matloff University of California at Davis

## AC Sounds Good, But Not Popular

- AC should be more accurate that CC uses more data.
- Yet, AC seems to have been dismissed early on in the Missing Value literature, apparently because:
  - The modified X'X may not be positive definite.

Xiao (Max) Gu and Norm Matloff University of California at Davis

## AC Sounds Good, But Not Popular

- AC should be more accurate that CC uses more data.
- Yet, AC seems to have been dismissed early on in the Missing Value literature, apparently because:
  - The modified X'X may not be positive definite.
  - AC assumes MCAR, the strongest among the famous assumption sets.

Xiao (Max) Gu and Norm Matloff University of California at Davis

## AC Sounds Good, But Not Popular

- AC should be more accurate that CC uses more data.
- Yet, AC seems to have been dismissed early on in the Missing Value literature, apparently because:
  - The modified X'X may not be positive definite.
  - AC assumes MCAR, the strongest among the famous assumption sets.

▲ロト ▲帰 ト ▲ ヨ ト ▲ ヨ ト ・ ヨ ・ の Q ()

• Still, AC seems worth revisiting.

Xiao (Max) Gu and Norm Matloff University of California at Davis

## AC Sounds Good, But Not Popular

- AC should be more accurate that CC uses more data.
- Yet, AC seems to have been dismissed early on in the Missing Value literature, apparently because:
  - The modified X'X may not be positive definite.
  - AC assumes MCAR, the strongest among the famous assumption sets.
- Still, AC seems worth revisiting.
  - Lack of positive definiteness is unlikely to occur, and it's unclear whether it's important anyway.

Xiao (Max) Gu and Norm Matloff University of California at Davis

## AC Sounds Good, But Not Popular

- AC should be more accurate that CC uses more data.
- Yet, AC seems to have been dismissed early on in the Missing Value literature, apparently because:
  - The modified X'X may not be positive definite.
  - AC assumes MCAR, the strongest among the famous assumption sets.
- Still, AC seems worth revisiting.
  - Lack of positive definiteness is unlikely to occur, and it's unclear whether it's important anyway.
  - The most common alternative assumption set, MAR, is also quite strong.

Xiao (Max) Gu and Norm Matloff University of California at Davis

## AC Sounds Good, But Not Popular

- AC should be more accurate that CC uses more data.
- Yet, AC seems to have been dismissed early on in the Missing Value literature, apparently because:
  - The modified X'X may not be positive definite.
  - AC assumes MCAR, the strongest among the famous assumption sets.
- Still, AC seems worth revisiting.
  - Lack of positive definiteness is unlikely to occur, and it's unclear whether it's important anyway.
  - The most common alternative assumption set, MAR, is also quite strong. (More on this later.)

Xiao (Max) Gu and Norm Matloff University of California at Davis

### Our Study: AC vs. CC, MI

◆□▶ ◆□▶ ◆臣▶ ◆臣▶ 臣 のへぐ

Xiao (Max) Gu and Norm Matloff University of California at Davis

## Our Study: AC vs. CC, MI

▲ロト ▲周ト ▲ヨト ▲ヨト ヨー のくで

• Here we "reopen the case" regarding AC,

Xiao (Max) Gu and Norm Matloff University of California at Davis

# Our Study: AC vs. CC, MI

▲ロト ▲帰 ト ▲ ヨ ト ▲ ヨ ト ・ ヨ ・ の Q ()

• Here we "reopen the case" regarding AC, comparing to CC and MI.

Xiao (Max) Gu and Norm Matloff University of California at Davis

# Our Study: AC vs. CC, MI

- Here we "reopen the case" regarding AC, comparing to CC and MI.
- We look at the old application, linear regression,

Xiao (Max) Gu and Norm Matloff University of California at Davis

# Our Study: AC vs. CC, MI

- Here we "reopen the case" regarding AC, comparing to CC and MI.
- We look at the old application, linear regression, and 2 new ones: PCA and log-linear model.

Xiao (Max) Gu and Norm Matloff University of California at Davis

# Our Study: AC vs. CC, MI

- Here we "reopen the case" regarding AC, comparing to CC and MI.
- We look at the old application, linear regression, and 2 new ones: PCA and log-linear model.
- We look at these criteria:

Xiao (Max) Gu and Norm Matloff University of California at Davis

# Our Study: AC vs. CC, MI

- Here we "reopen the case" regarding AC, comparing to CC and MI.
- We look at the old application, linear regression, and 2 new ones: PCA and log-linear model.
- We look at these criteria:
  - Applicability.

Xiao (Max) Gu and Norm Matloff University of California at Davis

# Our Study: AC vs. CC, MI

- Here we "reopen the case" regarding AC, comparing to CC and MI.
- We look at the old application, linear regression, and 2 new ones: PCA and log-linear model.
- We look at these criteria:
  - Applicability.
  - Variance, bias.

Xiao (Max) Gu and Norm Matloff University of California at Davis

# Our Study: AC vs. CC, MI

▲ロト ▲帰ト ▲ヨト ▲ヨト 三日 - の々ぐ

- Here we "reopen the case" regarding AC, comparing to CC and MI.
- We look at the old application, linear regression, and 2 new ones: PCA and log-linear model.
- We look at these criteria:
  - Applicability.
  - Variance, bias.
  - Run time.

Xiao (Max) Gu and Norm Matloff University of California at Davis

# Our Study: AC vs. CC, MI

▲ロト ▲帰ト ▲ヨト ▲ヨト 三日 - の々ぐ

- Here we "reopen the case" regarding AC, comparing to CC and MI.
- We look at the old application, linear regression, and 2 new ones: PCA and log-linear model.
- We look at these criteria:
  - Applicability.
  - Variance, bias.
  - Run time.
- For MI, we use Amelia 2.

Xiao (Max) Gu and Norm Matloff University of California at Davis

#### Linear Regression

◆□▶ ◆□▶ ◆臣▶ ◆臣▶ 臣 の�?

Xiao (Max) Gu and Norm Matloff University of California at Davis

#### Linear Regression

◆□▶ ◆□▶ ◆臣▶ ◆臣▶ 臣 の�?

• All 3 methods are applicable.

Xiao (Max) Gu and Norm Matloff University of California at Davis

## Linear Regression

◆□▶ ◆□▶ ◆三▶ ◆三▶ 三三 のへ⊙

- All 3 methods are applicable.
- Simulation results: n = 10000, p = 3, 10% missing,  $\beta_1=1$

Xiao (Max) Gu and Norm Matloff University of California at Davis

# Linear Regression

▲□▶ ▲□▶ ▲□▶ ▲□▶ □ のQ@

- All 3 methods are applicable.
- Simulation results: n = 10000, p = 3, 10% missing,

$p_1 = 1$			
method	mean	variance	time
CC	0.9996	0.0002	0.79
MI	0.9784	0.0002	142.02
AC	1.0027	0.0010	23.80

Xiao (Max) Gu and Norm Matloff University of California at Davis

# Linear Regression

▲ロト ▲帰ト ▲ヨト ▲ヨト 三日 - の々ぐ

- All 3 methods are applicable.
- Simulation results: n = 10000, p = 3, 10% missing,

mean	variance	time
0.9996	0.0002	0.79
0.9784	0.0002	142.02
1.0027	0.0010	23.80
	0.9996 0.9784	0.9996 0.0002 0.9784 0.0002

Note: Most time in AC spent in finding numeric derivs for standard errors.

• MI slightly biased.

Xiao (Max) Gu and Norm Matloff University of California at Davis

# Linear Regression

▲ロト ▲帰ト ▲ヨト ▲ヨト 三日 - の々ぐ

- All 3 methods are applicable.
- Simulation results: n = 10000, p = 3, 10% missing,

$p_1 = \mathbf{I}$			
method	mean	variance	time
CC	0.9996	0.0002	0.79
MI	0.9784	0.0002	142.02
AC	1.0027	0.0010	23.80

- MI slightly biased.
- AC terrible MSE. (Some intuition....)

Xiao (Max) Gu and Norm Matloff University of California at Davis

# Linear Regression

▲ロト ▲帰ト ▲ヨト ▲ヨト 三日 - の々ぐ

- All 3 methods are applicable.
- Simulation results: n = 10000, p = 3, 10% missing,

mean	variance	time
0.9996	0.0002	0.79
0.9784	0.0002	142.02
1.0027	0.0010	23.80
	0.9996 0.9784	0.9996 0.0002 0.9784 0.0002

- MI slightly biased.
- AC terrible MSE. (Some intuition....)
- MI terrible run time.

Xiao (Max) Gu and Norm Matloff University of California at Davis

# Linear Regression

▲ロト ▲帰ト ▲ヨト ▲ヨト 三日 - の々ぐ

- All 3 methods are applicable.
- Simulation results: n = 10000, p = 3, 10% missing,

$\rho_1 = \mathbf{I}$			
method	mean	variance	time
CC	0.9996	0.0002	0.79
MI	0.9784	0.0002	142.02
AC	1.0027	0.0010	23.80

- MI slightly biased.
- AC terrible MSE. (Some intuition....)
- MI terrible run time.
- Verdict: Use CC.

Xiao (Max) Gu and Norm Matloff University of California at Davis

## PCA

◆□▶ ◆□▶ ◆臣▶ ◆臣▶ 臣 の�?

Xiao (Max) Gu and Norm Matloff University of California at Davis

## PCA

◆□▶ ◆□▶ ◆臣▶ ◆臣▶ 臣 の�?

• CC, AC methods applicable.

▲□▶ ▲□▶ ▲□▶ ▲□▶ □ のQ@

Revisiting the Available Cases Method for Missing Values

- CC, AC methods applicable.
- MI sometimes gave error message ("perfectly collinear...").

▲ロト ▲帰ト ▲ヨト ▲ヨト 三日 - の々ぐ

Revisiting the Available Cases Method for Missing Values

- CC, AC methods applicable.
- MI sometimes gave error message ("perfectly collinear...").
- Simulation results: n = 100, p = 10, 10% missing; largest eigenvalue;  $\rho$  matrix

Revisiting the Available Cases Method for Missing Values

- CC, AC methods applicable.
- MI sometimes gave error message ("perfectly collinear...").
- Simulation results: n = 100, p = 10, 10% missing; largest eigenvalue;  $\rho$  matrix

method	mean	variance
CC	2.3328	0.0517
AC	2.1012	0.0218

Revisiting the Available Cases Method for Missing Values

- CC, AC methods applicable.
- MI sometimes gave error message ("perfectly collinear...").
- Simulation results: n = 100, p = 10, 10% missing; largest eigenvalue;  $\rho$  matrix

method	mean	variance
CC	2.3328	0.0517
AC	2.1012	0.0218

Xiao (Max) Gu and Norm Matloff University of California at Davis

#### A Note on PCA

◆□▶ ◆□▶ ◆臣▶ ◆臣▶ 臣 のへぐ

#### Xiao (Max) Gu and Norm Matloff University of California at Davis

## A Note on PCA

▲ロト ▲帰ト ▲ヨト ▲ヨト 三日 - の々ぐ

• PCA is upward biased anyway (even with no NAs), since PCA naturally overfits.

#### Xiao (Max) Gu and Norm Matloff University of California at Davis

## A Note on PCA

▲ロト ▲帰ト ▲ヨト ▲ヨト 三日 - の々ぐ

• PCA is upward biased anyway (even with no NAs), since PCA naturally overfits. (First comp. maxes var. of lin. combs. of length 1.)

## A Note on PCA

▲ロト ▲帰ト ▲ヨト ▲ヨト 三日 - の々ぐ

Xiao (Max) Gu and Norm Matloff University of California at Davis

Revisiting the Available

Cases Method for Missing Values

- PCA is upward biased anyway (even with no NAs), since PCA naturally overfits. (First comp. maxes var. of lin. combs. of length 1.)
- The means of 2.1 and 2.3 we got for n = 100 become about 1.97 for n = 1000.

## A Note on PCA

Xiao (Max) Gu and Norm Matloff University of California at Davis

Revisiting the Available

Cases Method for Missing Values

- PCA is upward biased anyway (even with no NAs), since PCA naturally overfits. (First comp. maxes var. of lin. combs. of length 1.)
- The means of 2.1 and 2.3 we got for n = 100 become about 1.97 for n = 1000.
- But in all simulation runs, AC was *less* upward biased, and had small variance, compared to CC.

## A Note on PCA

Xiao (Max) Gu and Norm Matloff University of California at Davis

Revisiting the Available

Cases Method for Missing Values

- PCA is upward biased anyway (even with no NAs), since PCA naturally overfits. (First comp. maxes var. of lin. combs. of length 1.)
- The means of 2.1 and 2.3 we got for n = 100 become about 1.97 for n = 1000.
- But in all simulation runs, AC was *less* upward biased, and had small variance, compared to CC. This was severe for larger values of *p*.

Xiao (Max) Gu and Norm Matloff University of California at Davis

# Contingency Table Models

◆□▶ ◆□▶ ◆臣▶ ◆臣▶ 臣 のへぐ

Xiao (Max) Gu and Norm Matloff University of California at Davis

# Contingency Table Models

• MI not appropriate, since assumes MV normal data.

Xiao (Max) Gu and Norm Matloff University of California at Davis

# Contingency Table Models

• MI not appropriate, since assumes MV normal data. (Though MI methods do exist for this setting.)

Xiao (Max) Gu and Norm Matloff University of California at Davis

# Contingency Table Models

- MI not appropriate, since assumes MV normal data. (Though MI methods do exist for this setting.)
- Example: Factors X, Y, Z;

Xiao (Max) Gu and Norm Matloff University of California at Davis

# Contingency Table Models

- MI not appropriate, since assumes MV normal data. (Though MI methods do exist for this setting.)
- Example: Factors X, Y, Z; (12)(13) model Y and Z independent, given X.

Xiao (Max) Gu and Norm Matloff University of California at Davis

# Contingency Table Models

- MI not appropriate, since assumes MV normal data. (Though MI methods do exist for this setting.)
- Example: Factors X, Y, Z; (12)(13) model Y and Z independent, given X.
- In terms of marginal distributions:

$$p_{ijk} = p_{i..} \frac{p_{i.j}}{p_{i..}} \frac{p_{i.k}}{p_{i..}} = \frac{p_{i.j}p_{i.k}}{p_{i..}}$$
(5)

- E.g. set  $\hat{p}_{i,k}$  to the proportion of cases in which X = i, Z = k, among cases in which X and Z are intact.
- Simulation example: (1)(23) model, n = 100, est.  $p_{111}$ .

method	mean	var
CC	0.1246591	0.0009020450
AC	0.1249168	0.0007548656

Xiao (Max) Gu and Norm Matloff University of California at Davis

# Contingency Table Models

- MI not appropriate, since assumes MV normal data. (Though MI methods do exist for this setting.)
- Example: Factors X, Y, Z; (12)(13) model Y and Z independent, given X.
- In terms of marginal distributions:

$$p_{ijk} = p_{i..} \frac{p_{i.j}}{p_{i..}} \frac{p_{i.k}}{p_{i..}} = \frac{p_{i.j}p_{i.k}}{p_{i..}}$$
(5)

- E.g. set  $\hat{p}_{i,k}$  to the proportion of cases in which X = i, Z = k, among cases in which X and Z are intact.
- Simulation example: (1)(23) model, n = 100, est.  $p_{111}$ .

method	mean	var
CC	0.1246591	0.0009020450
AC	0.1249168	0.0007548656

AC advantage more if have more factors or higher NA %.

Xiao (Max) Gu and Norm Matloff University of California at Davis

#### On Assumptions

◆□▶ ◆□▶ ◆臣▶ ◆臣▶ 臣 のへぐ

#### Xiao (Max) Gu and Norm Matloff University of California at Davis

## **On Assumptions**

• CC, AC assume MCAR, stronger than MI's MAR.

## On Assumptions

▲ロト ▲周ト ▲ヨト ▲ヨト ヨー のくで

- CC, AC assume MCAR, stronger than MI's MAR.
- However:

▲□▶ ▲□▶ ▲□▶ ▲□▶ □ のQ@

Revisiting the Available Cases Method for Missing Values

- CC, AC assume MCAR, stronger than MI's MAR.
- However:
  - Arguably,  $\mathrm{MAR}\cap\mathrm{MCAR}^{\mathsf{c}}$  rare in practice.

▲ロト ▲帰 ト ▲ ヨ ト ▲ ヨ ト ・ ヨ ・ の Q ()

Revisiting the Available Cases Method for Missing Values

- CC, AC assume MCAR, stronger than MI's MAR.
- However:
  - Arguably,  $MAR \cap MCAR^{c}$  rare in practice.
  - $\widehat{\beta}$  still unbiased for  $\beta$  under CC, AC even under MAR  $\cap$  MCAR<sup>c</sup>.

▲ロト ▲帰 ト ▲ ヨ ト ▲ ヨ ト ・ ヨ ・ の Q ()

Revisiting the Available Cases Method for Missing Values

- CC, AC assume MCAR, stronger than MI's MAR.
- However:
  - Arguably,  $MAR \cap MCAR^{c}$  rare in practice.
  - $\widehat{\beta}$  still unbiased for  $\beta$  under CC, AC even under MAR  $\cap$  MCAR<sup>c</sup>.
  - In MAR ∩ MCAR<sup>c</sup> case, bias does arise if use CC or AC to estimate EY or EX<sup>(i)</sup>.

▲ロト ▲帰 ト ▲ ヨ ト ▲ ヨ ト ・ ヨ ・ の Q ()

Revisiting the Available Cases Method for Missing Values

- CC, AC assume MCAR, stronger than MI's MAR.
- However:
  - Arguably,  $MAR \cap MCAR^{c}$  rare in practice.
  - $\widehat{\beta}$  still unbiased for  $\beta$  under CC, AC even under MAR  $\cap$  MCAR<sup>c</sup>.
  - In MAR ∩ MCAR<sup>c</sup> case, bias does arise if use CC or AC to estimate EY or EX<sup>(i)</sup>. In such case, use Matloff, Biometrika, 1982.

Xiao (Max) Gu and Norm Matloff University of California at Davis

#### Software

◆□▶ ◆□▶ ◆臣▶ ◆臣▶ 臣 のへぐ

▲ロト ▲帰 ト ▲ ヨ ト ▲ ヨ ト ・ ヨ ・ の Q ()

Revisiting the Available Cases Method for Missing Values

Xiao (Max) Gu and Norm Matloff University of California at Davis

• Code available at

*https://github.com/maxguxiao/Available-Cases.git.* Currently under development; check current status.

▲ロト ▲帰 ト ▲ ヨ ト ▲ ヨ ト ・ ヨ ・ の Q ()

Revisiting the Available Cases Method for Missing Values

Xiao (Max) Gu and Norm Matloff University of California at Davis

• Code available at

*https://github.com/maxguxiao/Available-Cases.git.* Currently under development; check current status.

• R's cov(), cor() functions include the option use = 'pairwise.complete.obs', which is the AC method.

- Revisiting the Available Cases Method for Missing Values
- Xiao (Max) Gu and Norm Matloff University of California at Davis
- Code available at
  - *https://github.com/maxguxiao/Available-Cases.git.* Currently under development; check current status.
- R's cov(), cor() functions include the option use = 'pairwise.complete.obs', which is the AC method. This could be used to implement AC in two applications:

- Revisiting the Available Cases Method for Missing Values
- Xiao (Max) Gu and Norm Matloff University of California at Davis
- Code available at
  - *https://github.com/maxguxiao/Available-Cases.git.* Currently under development; check current status.
- R's cov(), cor() functions include the option use = 'pairwise.complete.obs', which is the AC method. This could be used to implement AC in two applications:
  - For PCA, just run **eigen()** on either a covariance or correlation matrix computed for AC as above.

Available Cases Method for Missing Values Xiao (Max) Gu and Norm

Revisiting the

Matloff University of California at Davis

- Code available at
  - *https://github.com/maxguxiao/Available-Cases.git.* Currently under development; check current status.
- R's cov(), cor() functions include the option use = 'pairwise.complete.obs', which is the AC method. This could be used to implement AC in two applications:
  - For PCA, just run **eigen()** on either a covariance or correlation matrix computed for AC as above.
  - For linear regression, the matrices A and D both can be computed using **cov()**, after adjusting via a centering operation.

Available Cases Method for Missing Values Xiao (Max) Gu and Norm

Revisiting the

Matloff University of California at Davis

- Code available at
  - *https://github.com/maxguxiao/Available-Cases.git.* Currently under development; check current status.
- R's cov(), cor() functions include the option use = 'pairwise.complete.obs', which is the AC method. This could be used to implement AC in two applications:
  - For PCA, just run **eigen()** on either a covariance or correlation matrix computed for AC as above.
  - For linear regression, the matrices A and D both can be computed using **cov()**, after adjusting via a centering operation.

Xiao (Max) Gu and Norm Matloff University of California at Davis

### Conclusions

◆□▶ ◆□▶ ◆臣▶ ◆臣▶ 臣 のへぐ

#### Conclusions

◆□▶ ◆□▶ ◆臣▶ ◆臣▶ 臣 のへぐ

Xiao (Max) Gu and Norm Matloff University of California at Davis

• Final score: AC had 2 wins, 1 loss.

## Conclusions

▲□▶ ▲□▶ ▲□▶ ▲□▶ □ のQ@

Revisiting the Available Cases Method for Missing Values

- Final score: AC had 2 wins, 1 loss.
- MI quite time-consuming, not recommended unless MCAR an issue.

## Conclusions

▲ロト ▲帰 ト ▲ ヨ ト ▲ ヨ ト ・ ヨ ・ の Q ()

Revisiting the Available Cases Method for Missing Values

Xiao (Max) Gu and Norm Matloff University of California at Davis

- Final score: AC had 2 wins, 1 loss.
- MI quite time-consuming, not recommended unless MCAR an issue.

These slides available at http://heather.cs.ucdavis.edu/SeattleSlides.pdf